Atty. Docket No.: 8039/1122 Serial No.: 09/888,313 Page 11

indicated by italics.

Restricted Group	New Claims
Group I: Claims 1-8, 16-25,	Claims 56-68, 78-86
34-36, 45-47, and 55	
Group II: Claims 9, 16-25, 55	Claims 76, and 77-86
Group III: Claims 1- and 55	Claims 69, 70-74, and 78-86
Group IV: Claims 11-12 and 16	Claim 75, and 76-86
Group V: Claims 13 and 55	No analogous claim
Group VI: Claims 14-16	No analogous claim
Group VII: Claims 26 and 55	Claims 87-92
Group VIII: Claims 27-31 and	Claims 93-99
55	
Group IX: Claim 32	No analogous claim
Group X: Claim 33	No analogous claim
Group XI: Claims 37-38, and 55	No analogous claim
Group XII: Claims 39, 46, and	No analogous claim
55	
Group XIII: Claims 40, 46, and	No analogous claim
55	
Group XIV: Claims 41, 46, and	No analogous claim
55	
Group XV: Claims 42, 46, and	No analogous claim
55	
Group XVI: Claims 43, 45-47,	Claims 100-103
and 55	!
Group XVII: Claims 44-47 and	No analogous claim
55	

Atty. Docket No.: 8039/1122

Serial No.: 09/888,313

Page 12

Restricted Group	New Claims
Group XVIII: Claims 48-49,	No analogous claim
and 54-55	
Group XIX: Claims 50-51, and	Claims 104-110
54-55	
Group XX: Claims 52-55	Claims 111-117

Based on the comparison shown above, in view of Applicants election of Group I for prosecution on the merits, with traverse in Applicants' response filed herewith, Applicants herein elect newly added Claims 56-68, 78-86 (corresponding to Group I of the Restriction Requirement) for prosecution, with traverse. Applicants' traversal of the Restriction Requirement with respect to the newly added claims indicated above is based on the same rationale set forth in Applicants' response to the Restriction Requirement filed herewith, with the exception that Applicants' argument with respect to rejoinder of Group XVII is moot in view of the fact that no analogous claims to those of Group XVII have been added by this amendment. That is, Applicants traverse the restriction requirement with respect to the newly added claims above because there is no two-way distinctiveness between the claims 56-68, 78-86 (analogous to Group I), 87-92 (analogous to Group VII), and 100-103 (analogous to Group XVI), and because a complete search of the indicated claims would not impose an undue search burden on the Examiner.

Applicants submit that the newly added claims to not introduce any new matter.

Conclusion

Applicant submits that all claims are allowable as written and respectfully requests early favorable action by the Examiner. If the Examiner believes that a telephone conversation with Applicants' attorney would expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is cordially invited to call the undersigned attorney of record.

Atty. Docket No.: 8039/1122

Serial No.: 09/888,313

Page 13

Date: November 9,2,2002

Respectfully submitted,

Name: Kathleen M. Williams Registration No.: 34,380 Customer No.: 29933 Palmer & Dodge LLP

111 Huntington Avenue Boston, MA 02199-7613

Tel: 617-239-0100